ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: M07782

Date Received: 10/13/11

110173

Analyte:

10/14/11 Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: 10/14/11 Matrix: Water

Units:

ug/L (ppb)

Client:

Alaskan Copper Works

Project:

Metro Self Monitor, PO M07782, F&BI

Lab ID: Data File: 110173-01 x10 110173-01 x10.037

Instrument: ICPMS1

Operator:

AP

Lower

Internal Standard:

Germanium

% Recovery: 89

Limit: 60

Upper Limit: 125

Concentration ug/L (ppb)

Chromium 244 Nickel 158 Copper 140 Zinc <10

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID:

Method Blank

Date Received: 110173

Not Applicable

Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix:

Units:

10/14/11 10/14/11 Water ug/L (ppb) Client: Project:

Lab ID:

Alaskan Copper Works

Metro Self Monitor, PO M07782, F&BI

Data File: Instrument: ICPMS1

I1-715 mb I1-715 mb.028

Operator: AP

Internal Standard:

% Recovery: 84

Lower Limit: 60

UpperLimit: 125

Concentration ug/L (ppb)

<1

Analyte:

Germanium

Chromium Nickel Copper Zinc

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 10/19/11 Date Received: 10/13/11

Project: Metro Self Monitor, PO M07782, F&BI 110173

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 110179-01 (Matrix Spike)

Analyte	Reporting Units	Spike Level	Sample Result	Percent Recovery MS	Percent Recovery MSD	Acceptance Criteria	RPD (Limit 20)
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	<1	93	98	67-132	5
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	<1	98	99	73-119	1
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	<1	95	99	50-144	4
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	<1	95	98	46-148	3

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			$\operatorname{Percent}$				
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance			
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria			
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	97	66-135			
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	101	67-134			
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	100	66-134			
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	97	57-135			

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

- a The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- A1 More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.
- b The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- ca The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.
- c The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.
- ds The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- dv Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.
- fb Analyte present in the blank and the sample.
- fc The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- hr The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- ht Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.
- ip Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.
- j The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.
- J The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.
- jl The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- jr The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- js The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- lc The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- $\,\mathrm{nm}$ The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- pr The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.
- vo The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- x The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

110173	< 7			SAMPLERS	(danamera)		W 57	- F - F	100					age ♥	IND TIME
Send Report To SE		_		وسك						· .	PO# .	- [
Company ALASKA				PROJECT NAMEMO. Metho Self movifor					1			Standard (2 Marks) Small (2 Co) Buck charges authorized by:			
Address (28 5	HANSON	ed 8	7	metro	self	- n	ion	fa	n	100	7700			a dia a managara	
City, State, ZIP_Sa	The cu	4 9	8134	REMARKS	- Fire							1 1	Diep	SAMPLE (ose after 3	USPOSAL O davs
Phone # 206-571-0												11	O Bets	ana sample	
		T			Ţ	-		_	Ā	MALY	各地 斯	QUES	TED		
Sample ID	Lab ID	Date	Time	Sample Type	# of	TPM-Diesel	Gasagine	by 802.18	VOCs by 8200	Wecs by agra-	an mes				Notes
m07782	01	19/1/11	12:30	420	/	TPS	HALL	BTTEX	SON N		See				
, 0,74,8 2	101	71911	10.30	720					1	+		1	\Box		
				*)											
							4	- 1	1	4		-	\blacksquare		-
						\dashv	-	+	+	+	\vdash	-	+		
		- X-1				\dashv		1		+	H		H		
								1	-	+			\Box		
							1	7	1	1		1	$\dagger \dagger$		
		7.34 -104					7	٦	1	1					************
medinon & Bruye, Inc.		GNATUI	Œ		PRINT	NAN	Œ			1	A 100 COLUMN	PANY	++	DATE	TIN
912 16th Avenue West	L								ACO !			19/11	1 11		
eatile, WA 98119-2029 1. (206) 225-8282				2010				FYBI			1/	//			
nt (206) 283-5944	Because by	y. 	· • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·											4	4

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

October 19, 2011

Gerald Thompson, Project Manager Alaskan Copper Works 628 South Hanford Seattle, WA 98134

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on October 13, 2011 from the Metro Self Monitor, PO M07782, F&BI 110173 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures ACU1019R.DOC